United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

September 25, 2017

Dear Senator:

On behalf of the undersigned organizations representing millions of people who support equality for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) people nationwide, we write to express our opposition to the Graham-Cassidy-Heller-Johnson (Graham-Cassidy) proposal, and its underlying provisions to repeal and replace the Affordable Care Act (ACA). We are deeply concerned about the negative impact that the Graham-Cassidy bill would have on many vulnerable and marginalized communities—including the LGBTQ community—that already face systemic discrimination and healthcare disparities.

The ACA has served as a lifeline for millions of LGBTQ people who too often have found themselves cut off from critical healthcare services.¹ Prior to implementation of the ACA, LGBTQ people had some of the lowest insured rates of any population in the country. The individual market reforms, including the ban on preexisting condition exclusions, have made it possible for many in our community to obtain health insurance for the first time in their lives. Thanks to the ACA, from 2013-2017, the uninsurance rate for low- and middle-income LGBTQ people was reduced by 35%.² There is evidence that this reduction has been greater in states that opted for the Medicaid expansion,³ and currently 1.8 million LGBTQ people rely on Medicaid.⁴ For those with particularly low incomes – under 250% of the federal poverty level – 40% of LGBTQ, compared with 22% of non-LGBTQ people, rely on Medicaid. For many people living with HIV, as one example, protections for those with pre-existing conditions has made insurance affordable and treatment accessible. Tens of thousands of people living with HIV have qualified for care under the Medicaid expansion, gaining access to life-saving treatments before becoming disabled by the virus. As a result, people living with HIV are able to have healthier and longer lives.

The Graham-Cassidy proposal will have a detrimental impact on the positive trend of health coverage for LGBTQ people and so many other vulnerable populations. Under previous repeal and replace legislation with comparable provisions for block-granting Medicaid the Congressional Budget Office projected 32 million people could ultimately lose coverage.⁴ These projections foreshadow an unacceptable growth in the uninsured rate and an equally unacceptable exacerbation of health care disparities.

The Graham-Cassidy proposal fundamentally changes the Medicaid program, imposing a per capita cap funding structure and terminating the expansion of the program under the ACA. The magnitude of the lost funding will have a swift, stark, and devastating impact on the most

¹ http://hrms.urban.org/quicktakes/Uninsurance-Rate-Nearly-Halved-for-Lesbian-Gay-and-Bisexual-Adults-since-Mid-2013.html

² https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/news/2017/03/22/428970/repealing-affordable-care-act-bad-medicine-lgbt-communities/

³ https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/reports/2014/11/17/101575/moving-the-needle/

⁴ https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/news/2017/03/22/428970/repealing-affordable-care-act-bad-medicine-lgbt-communities/

⁴ https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/like-other-aca-repeal-bills-cassidy-graham-plan-would-add-millions-to-uninsured

vulnerable among us: women and children, the elderly, people with disabilities, and persons living with HIV. The legislation also strips the requirement to cover essential health benefits under the Medicaid expansion, leaving millions without access to the critical benefits that often save lives, such as substance abuse treatment and mental healthcare services.

The bill will also increase premiums for people with pre-existing conditions, including many significant, chronic health conditions for which LGBTQ people are at greater risk of experiencing relative to their peers. For example, people with major depressive disorder will see a premium surcharge of \$8,490, while someone with breast cancer will see a surcharge of \$28,660.⁵ Research shows that 65% of LGBTQ people have a pre-existing medical condition, such as diabetes or heart disease.⁶ Rather than increasing coverage, passage of this bill will cause millions of people to lose coverage while making coverage unaffordable for those who remain in the market.

Graham-Cassidy would give states broad waiver authority to eliminate the ACA's core protections for people with pre-existing health conditions. Insurers would still have to *offer* coverage to those with pre-existing conditions, but they could make such coverage so expensive that it would be essentially meaningless. For LGBTQ older adults, many of whom face pronounced health disparities in physical and mental health, including depression, high blood pressure, heart disease, cholesterol, diabetes, obesity, and HIV/AIDS, cost increases of this magnitude would result in the loss of health care coverage.

Prior to the ACA, employer-provided health plans frequently limited the maximum amount of coverage employees could receive over their lifetime. In 2009, 59% of covered employees had health plans with lifetime maximums, meaning they could face bankruptcy if they encountered serious health problems and were left unable to cover their healthcare costs. By allowing states to seek waivers to specified essential health benefit requirements, the Graham-Cassidy proposal gives states—and subsequently employers—the ability to narrow the definition of these essential health benefits. Ultimately, this would dismantle the ACA's ban on lifetime limits and annual out-of-pocket spending limits for essential health benefits, once again leaving individuals to risk bankruptcy in order to obtain basic healthcare.

LGBTQ people, particularly people of color and those living with HIV, face systemic discrimination and health disparities, which the ACA was helping to address. Graham-Cassidy would take us backward, shredding the health care safety net and leaving many in our community to risk bankruptcy in order to obtain basic health care. The one-two punch of gutting Medicaid and eliminating the ACA's marketplace subsidies would strip coverage away from millions and inflict some of its worst harm on LGBTQ people, who already experience health disparities because of economic disadvantage and discrimination.

The provision barring Planned Parenthood and its affiliated clinics from participating in essential

⁵ https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/healthcare/news/2017/09/18/439091/graham-cassidy-aca-repeal-bill-cause-huge-premium-increases-people-pre-existing-conditions/

⁶ https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/news/2017/07/06/435452/senate-health-care-bill-devastating-lgbtq-people/.

⁷ https://kaiserfamilyfoundation.files.wordpress.com/2013/04/7936.pdf

⁸ https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2017/03/24/new-changes-to-essential-benefits-in-gop-health-bill-could-jeopardize-protections-against-catastrophic-costs-even-for-people-with-job-based-coverage/;

https://www.brookings.edu/2017/05/02/allowing-states-to-define-essential-health-benefits-could-weaken-aca-protections-against-catastrophic-costs-for-people-with-employer-coverage-nationwide/

public health programs not only violates the procedural requirements of legislation adopted under budget reconciliation, it constitutes terrible health policy. Barring these clinics from receiving federal reimbursement for care provided will jeopardize the ability of these providers to deliver preventive healthcare services, such as cancer screenings and STD and HIV testing, as well as services like gender transition-related care that may not be offered elsewhere in many communities. Often, health centers such as Planned Parenthood offer the only culturally competent healthcare available to LGBTQ people, especially in rural and isolated areas. Rather than improving care options, Graham-Cassidy would disproportionately impact people—including people of color, immigrants, young people, and members of the LGBTQ community—who already face structural barriers to accessing care.

We strongly urge the members of the Senate to reject provisions such as those contained in the Graham-Cassidy-Heller-Johnson proposal that would harm millions of Americans and deny them the health benefits that save lives.

Sincerely,

Adolescent Counseling Services/Outlet

AIDS Foundation of Chicago

AIDS United

Alaskans Together For Equality

Alliance For Full Acceptance (AFFA)

American Civil Liberties Union

American Psychological Association

APLA Health

Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum

Basic Rights Oregon

BiNet USA

California LGBT Health and Human Services Network

Callen-Lorde Community Health Center

Center For Black Equity

CenterLink: The Community of LGBT Centers

Colorado Consumer Health Initiative

Colorado Organization for Latina Opportunity and Reproductive Rights (COLOR)

Community Research Initiative of New England

Consumer Health First

Dab the AIDS Bear Project

Equal Rights Washington

Equality Arizona

Equality California

Equality Federation

Equality Florida

Equality Michigan

Equality North Carolina

Equality Ohio

Equality Pennsylvania

Equality Texas

Equality Utah

Equality Virginia

EqualityMaine

Fair Wisconsin

Family Equality Council

Fenway Health

Forward Together

Freedom Oklahoma

Gender Health Center

Georgia Equality

Georgians for a Healthy Future

GLBTQ Legal Advocates & Defenders (GLAD)

GLMA: Health Professionals Advancing LGBT Equality

HealthRIGHT 360

HIV Medicine Association

Human Rights Campaign

Jackson Cty Democrats (OR) LGBTQ Caucus

JCD LGBTQ Caucus (Oregon)

Justice in Aging

Lambda Legal

LGBT Center of Raleigh

Liberty City Democratic Clib

Los Angeles LGBT Center

Lotus Rising Project

LPAC

MassEquality.org

Mazzoni Center

Minnesota AIDS Project

MomsRising

Montana Human Rights Network

Movement Advancement Project

NASTAD

National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum (NAPAWF)

National Black Justice Coalition

National Center for Lesbian Rights

National Center for Transgender Equality

National Coalition for LGBT Health

National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs

National Council of Jewish Women

National Gay & Lesbian Chamber of Commerce (NGLCC)

National Health Law Program

National LGBT Bar Association

National LGBTQ Task Force Action Fund

National Queer Asian Pacific Islander Alliance (NQAPIA)

NEAT - the National Equality Action Team

NMAC

One Colorado

Our Family Coalition

Out2Enroll

OutFront Minnesota

OutServe-SLDN

Palmetto Project

People For the American Way

PFLAG National

Pride at Work

Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada

PROMO

Resource Center (Dallas, TX)

Rogue Rainbow Elders

Ryan White Medical Providers Coalition

Sacramento LGBT Community Center

SAGE (Advocacy & Services for LGBT Elders)

SC Equality

SCPHCA-SCMHP

Secular Coalition for America

SEIU District 1199 WV/KY/OH

Sexuality Information and Education Council of the U.S. (SIECUS)

Southern AIDS Coalition

Southern HIV/AIDS Strategy Initiative

The AIDS Institute

The Center for American Progress

The Gay and Lesbian Community Center of Southern Nevada

The Health Initiative

The Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual & Transgender Community Center

The National Latina Institute for Reproductive Health

The Pride Center at Equality Park

The Tennessee Transgender Political Coalition

The Trevor Project

Transgender Law Center

True Colors Fund

Universal Health Care Action Network of Ohio

URGE: Unite for Reproductive & Gender Equity

Whitman-Walker Health

Wyoming Equality

Young Invincibles